

From: [Matt Hogan](#)
To: "Moore, Virgil"
Cc: "Scott Talbott"; "Jeff Hagener"; "Gould, Jeff"
Subject: RE: GB Follow Up Meeting
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 11:13:00 AM

Great....thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Moore, Virgil [<mailto:virgil.moore@idfg.idaho.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 11:12 AM
To: Matt Hogan
Cc: Scott Talbott; Jeff Hagener; Gould, Jeff
Subject: RE: GB Follow Up Meeting

Matt

Sorry my I hit send before I was done with previous response.

Denver is fine with me. I talked with Scott and Jeff and I believe they are good too but you should wait to hear from them. We would request some place near the airport if possible.

I may have some information on population productivity but have not seen the staff work yet. I can just add that in to Scott's presentation if needed.

I do want the side by side comparison of the draft plan and the final 2007 plan.

Thanks for getting this together.
Virgil

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Matt Hogan
Date: 01/21/2015 10:52 AM (GMT-07:00)
To: Scott Talbott, "Hagener, Jeff", "Moore, Virgil"
Subject: GB Follow Up Meeting

Scott and I have talked and I am on the hook to get him a draft agenda for his reaction. However, as I work on that, a few questions for your reaction (in red below):

- I think (and believe Scott agrees) that we would benefit by having our meeting facilitated but a neutral 3rd party. Does anyone have objections to asking Chris Smith from WMI to serve in this role. If there is anything you don't want in email about this, please call but if ok, please let me know.

- In addition to presentations from Frank Van Mannen from USGS on the population trends and Chris Servheen on a comparison of the 2007 rule vs our current proposal, Scott was interested in having his folks present on the status of GB in WY, current conflicts as well thoughts on what post delisting management would look like to them (correct any mis-statements here Scott). Are there other presentations that states would like to see added to the agenda?

· Dan has requested that the meeting be in Denver. I know that is not ideal for Jeff but wondering if the 3 of you can make Denver work? Again, we were thinking of starting around 12 Noon on Feb 18 and finishing around 12 Noon or so on Feb 19. Hopefully that would allow everyone to travel on Feb 18 in the am and Feb 19 in the pm.

Please let me know your thoughts on the questions posed in red above ASAP. Thanks.

Matt